arXiv:1606.00011v2 [math.CO] 21 Jun 2016

FRANKL'S CONJECTURE FOR SUBGROUP LATTICES

ALIREZA ABDOLLAHI, RUSS WOODROOFE, AND GJERGJI ZAIMI

Abstract. We show that the subgroup lattice of any finite group satisfies Frankl's Union-Closed Conjecture. We show the same for all lattices with a modular coatom, a family which includes all supersolvable and dually semimodular lattices. A common technical result used to prove both may be of some independent interest.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Frankl's Conjecture.** All groups and lattices considered in this paper will be finite. We will examine the following conjecture, attributed to Frankl from 1979.

Conjecture 1.1 (Frankl's Union-Closed Conjecture)**.** *If L is a lattice with at least 2 elements, then there is a join-irreducible a with $\left|[a, \hat{1}]\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|L|$.*

There are a number of different equivalent forms of this conjecture. The original form that Frankl considered involved a related condition for families of sets that are closed under intersection. The first appearance in print was in the conference proceedings [24], arising from its mention by Duffus in a problem session. Three forms of the problem are given in [24]: a statement about families of sets closed under union, Frankl's original form, and the lattice statement as we have here. Conjecture 1.1 appears as a 5-difficulty problem in [26], where it is called a "diabolical" problem. See [6] for further information and history. The conjecture is currently the subject of a Polymath project [4].

We will henceforth refer to Conjecture 1.1 as Frankl's Conjecture. We will focus on the lattice form. If we wish to refer to the join-irreducible a satisfying the required condition, we will say L satisfies Frankl's Conjecture with a.

Frankl's Conjecture, while open in general, is known to hold for many families of lattices. Poonen in [22] proved and generalized remarks of Duffus from [24]: that the conjecture holds for distributive lattices, and for relatively complemented (including geometric) lattices. Reinhold [23] showed the conjecture to hold for dually semimodular lattices (see also [1]). Whether the conjecture holds for semimodular lattices is in general unknown, but Czédli and Schmidt in [9] verified it for semimodular lattices that have a high ratio of elements to join-irreducibles.

The first author was supported in part by grant No. 94050219 from School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM). The first author was additionally financially supported by the Center of Excellence for Mathematics at the University of Isfahan.

We remark that Blinovsky has an arXiv preprint which claims to settle the Frankl Conjecture. However: his argument is difficult to follow, and has gone through a large number of arXiv versions in a short time. Moreover, he has also claimed to solve several other difficult conjectures in a short period, using the same technique. There does not seem at this time to be a consensus that his proof is correct.

1.2. **Subgroup lattices.** Recall that for a group G, the *subgroup lattice* of G is the set $L(G)$ of all subgroups of G, ordered by inclusion.

Our first main theorem verifies that Frankl's Conjecture holds for subgroup lattices.

Theorem 1.2. *If G is a group and $L(G)$ is the subgroup lattice of G, then $L(G)$ satisfies Conjecture 1.1.*

Subgroup lattices of groups form a large family of lattices. Indeed, it is an important open question (first asked by Pálfy and Pudlák [20]) as to whether every finite lattice occurs as an interval in the subgroup lattice of some finite group. Although most experts on the topics appear to believe the answer to the Pálfy-Pudlák question to be negative, progress has been somewhat limited. Indeed, the problem is difficult [5] even for lattices of height 2! See [2] and its references for further discussion of the Pálfy-Pudlák question and attempts to disprove it.

In light of the question of Pálfy and Pudlák, it would be highly interesting to settle Frankl's Conjecture in intervals of the form $[H, G]$ of $L(G)$. We cannot do this in general, but give group-theoretic sufficient conditions. We will state these conditions carefully in Corollary 1.5. We also verify that Frankl's Conjecture holds for every interval in a solvable group in Corollary 1.9.

1.3. **Modular elements, subgroup lattices, and Frankl's Conjecture.** An essential tool in the proof of Theorem 1.2 also has applications to many other lattices. For this reason, we give it in a quite general form.

An element m of a lattice L is *left-modular* if for every $a < b$ in L, the expression $a \vee m \wedge b$ can be written without parentheses. That is, if $a \vee (m \wedge b) = (a \vee m) \wedge b$ for every $a < b$. We show:

Theorem 1.3 (Main Technical Theorem)**.** *Let L be a lattice, let $m \in L \setminus \{\hat{1}\}$ be left-modular, and let $x, y \in L$ be (not necessarily distinct) join-irreducibles. If $m \vee x \vee y = \hat{1}$, then L satisfies Frankl's Conjecture with either x or y.*

It follows from the well-known Dedekind Identity (see Section 2.1 below) that any normal subgroup N of G is left-modular in $L(G)$. It is straightforward to see that a subgroup X is a join-irreducible in $L(G)$ if and only if X is cyclic of prime-power order. Thus, we obtain the following as an easy consequence of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4. *If G is a group with $N \lhd G$, and G/N is generated by at most two elements of prime-power order, then $L(G)$ satisfies Frankl's Conjecture.*

Theorem 1.2 will follow by combining Corollary 1.4 with results on finite simple groups.

We similarly obtain a relative version for upper intervals in groups. The statement is somewhat harder to work with, as we are not aware of any short description for join-irreducibles in intervals of subgroup lattices.

Corollary 1.5. *Let G be a group and H be a subgroup. If X and Y are join-irreducibles of the interval $[H, G]$, and $N \lhd G$ is such that $HN < G$ but $HN \vee X \vee Y = G$, then the interval $[H, G]$ satisfies Frankl's Conjecture.*

1.4. **The Averaged Frankl's Condition.** A related question to Frankl's Conjecture asks for which lattices the average size over a join-irreducible element (other than $\hat{0}$) is at most $\frac{1}{2}|L|$. We call this condition the *Averaged Frankl's Condition*. The Averaged Frankl's Condition does not hold for all lattices, but is known to hold for lattices with a large ratio of elements to join-irreducibles [8]. The condition obviously holds for uncomplicated subgroup lattices such as $L(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$ or $L(\mathbb{Z}_p^n)$. Indeed, our techniques allow us to show a stronger condition for a restrictive class of groups.

Proposition 1.6. *If G is a supersolvable group so that all Sylow subgroups of G are elementary abelian, then G satisfies Frankl's Conjecture with any join-irreducible X.*

Supersolvable groups with elementary abelian subgroups are also known as *complemented groups*, and were first studied by Hall [11]. We don't know whether the subgroup lattices of arbitrary groups always satisfy the Averaged Frankl's Condition.

1.5. **Other lattices.** Left-modular elements also occur in lattices from elsewhere in combinatorics. A situation that is both easy and useful is:

Corollary 1.7. *If a lattice L has a left-modular coatom m, then L satisfies Frankl's Conjecture.*

Proof. If $\hat{1}$ is a join-irreducible, then the result is trivial. Otherwise, there is some join-irreducible x such that $m \vee x = \hat{1}$, and we apply Theorem 1.3. □

There has been much study of classes of lattices that have a left-modular coatom. Dually semimodular lattices have every coatom left-modular, so we recovery the earlier-mentioned result [23] that such lattices satisfy Frankl's Conjecture. We also obtain the new result that *supersolvable* and *left-modular* lattices (those with a maximal chain consisting of left-modular elements) satisfy Frankl's Conjecture. See e.g. [18] for background on supersolvable lattices.

Still more generally, the *comodernistic* lattices recently examined by the second author and Schweig [25] are those lattices with a left-modular coatom on every interval. This class of lattices includes all supersolvable, left-modular, and dually semimodular lattices. It also includes other large classes of examples, including subgroup lattices of solvable groups, and k-equal partition lattices.

Theorem 1.8. *Comodernistic lattices (including supersolvable, left-modular, and dually semimodular lattices) satisfy Frankl's Conjecture.*

Subgroup lattices of solvable groups are one family of examples of comodernistic lattices [25, Theorem 1.7]. That is, every interval in the subgroup lattice of a solvable group has a left-modular coatom. It follows immediately that:

Corollary 1.9. *If G is a solvable group, then every interval in $L(G)$ satisfies Frankl's Conjecture.*

Since the $\hat{0}$ element of any lattice is left-modular, Theorem 1.3 also yields the following:

Corollary 1.10. *If L is a lattice such that $\hat{1} = x \vee y$ for join-irreducibles x, y, then L satisfies Frankl's Conjecture.*

1.6. **Organization.** In Section 2 we will discuss the group-theoretic aspects of the problem. We will complete the proof of Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 1.2, pending only on the proof of Theorem 1.3. In Section 3, we will prove Theorem 1.3 and generalizations, as well as Proposition 1.6.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the administrators and community of MathOverflow, which brought us together to work on the problem [3]. We also thank Tobias Fritz and Marco Pellegrini for carefully reading earlier drafts. Marco Pellegrini in particular provided us with additional background on generation of Suzuki groups, including the useful reference to [10].

2. Groups, generation, and subgroup lattices

The main purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2, as we do in Section 2.2. We first begin with some basic background on the combinatorics of subgroup lattices.

2.1. **Modular elements in subgroup lattices, and the details of Corollary 1.4.** The Dedekind Identity is often assigned as an exercise [12, Exercise 2.9] in a graduate algebra course:

Lemma 2.1 (Dedekind Modular Identity)**.** *If H, K, N are subgroups of a group G such that $H \leq K$, then $H(N \cap K) = HN \cap K$.*

It is also well known that HN is a subgroup of G if and only if $HN = NH = H \vee N$. These conditions are obviously satisfied when N is a normal subgroup, and are sometimes otherwise satisfied.

It is thus immediate from the Dedekind Identity that whenever HN is a subgroup, we also have that N satisfies the modular relation with H and any $K > H$. In particular, we recover our earlier claim that normal subgroups are left-modular in $L(G)$.

The proof of Corollary 1.4 follows from this fact, together with another routine exercise: If $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{y}$ are elements of prime-power order in G/N, then there are $x, y \in G$ of prime-power order such that $\overline{x} = Nx$, $\overline{y} = Ny$ [12, Exercise 3.12]. In particular, the modular subgroup N and the join-irreducibles $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.5 follows by a similar argument.

2.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We prove Theorem 1.2 by combining Corollary 1.4 with facts about finite simple groups. King recently proved in [13]:

Theorem 2.2 (Prime Generation Theorem [13])**.** *If G is any nonabelian finite simple group, then G is generated by an involution and an element of prime order.*

Whenever N is a maximal normal subgroup of G, the quotient G/N is simple. Of course, abelian simple groups are generated by a single element of prime order. Nonabelian simple groups are handled by Theorem 2.2. Theorem 1.2 now follows from Corollary 1.4.

2.3. **Overview of generation of simple groups by elements of prime order.** The substantive work of King [13] in proving Theorem 2.2 builds on a large body of preceding work. We will briefly survey some history and mathematical details. We assume basic knowledge of the Classification of Finite Simple Groups in this discussion, but will not assume any such elsewhere in the paper.

A group G is said to be (p, q)-*generated* if G is generated by an element of order p and one of order q. The case of $(2, 3)$-generation is particularly well-studied in the literature, as such groups are the quotients of the infinite group $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. In addition to the references below, see e.g. [21, 27].

The following has been known to hold for some time.

Proposition 2.3. *With at most finitely many exceptions, every nonabelian finite simple group is either $(2, 3)$- or $(2, 5)$-generated.*

We summarize the history behind Proposition 2.3. The alternating group A_n was shown to be $(2, 3)$-generated by Miller [19] for $n \neq 6, 7, 8$; while A_6, A_7 and A_8 are easily seen to be $(2, 5)$-generated. Excluding the groups $PSp_4(q)$, all but finitely many of the classical groups are $(2, 3)$-generated by work of Liebeck and Shalev [14]. In the same paper [14], the authors showed that, excluding finitely many exceptions, in characteristic 2 or 3 the groups PSp_4 are $(2, 5)$-generated. Cazzola and Di Martino in [7] showed PSp_4 to be $(2, 3)$-generated in all other characteristics. Lübeck and Malle [15] (building on earlier work by Malle [16, 17]) showed all simple exceptional groups excluding the Suzuki groups to be $(2, 3)$-generated. Evans [10] showed the Suzuki groups to be $(2, p)$-generated for any odd prime p dividing the group order, and in particular to be $(2, 5)$-generated. Proposition 2.3 now follows by combining the results enumerated here with the Classification of Finite Simple Groups.

We caution that $PSU_3(3^2)$ is known not to be $(2, 3)$-generated [28], and since it has order $|PSU_3(3^2)| = 2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$, the group is certainly not $(2, 5)$-generated either.

King's proof of Theorem 2.2 proceeds by showing that every classical simple group G is either $(2, 3)$-, $(2, 5)$-, or $(2, r)$-generated, where r is a so-called Zsigmondy prime for G.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Since $m \neq \hat{1}$, we see that $x \vee y \not\leq m$. If $x \leq m$, then we may replace the triple m, x, y with m, y, y while still meeting the conditions of the theorem. Thus, we may suppose without loss of generality that neither x nor y is on the interval $[\hat{0}, m]$.

Suppose without loss of generality that $[x, \hat{1}]$ has at most as many elements as $[y, \hat{1}]$. We will show that $\left|[x, \hat{1}]\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|L|$ by constructing an injection from $[x, \hat{1}]$ to its complement in L.

For the first part of the injection, since $\left|[x, \hat{1}]\right| \leq \left|[y, \hat{1}]\right|$, there is some injection that maps

$$\varphi_1 : [x, \hat{1}] \setminus [x \vee y, \hat{1}] \to [y, \hat{1}] \setminus [x \vee y, \hat{1}].$$

For the second part of the injection, we look at the interval $[x \vee y, \hat{1}]$. (We notice that if $x = y$, then $x \vee y = x = y$, and this will cause no trouble in what follows.) We map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2 : [x \vee y, \hat{1}] &\to [\hat{0}, m] \\ \alpha &\mapsto m \wedge \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

As $x \vee y \vee (m \wedge \alpha) = (x \vee y \vee m) \wedge \alpha = \hat{1} \wedge \alpha = \alpha$ by left-modularity, the map φ_2 is an injection. Since $x \not\leq m$, the image of φ_2 is contained in the complement of $[x, \hat{1}]$.

The two maps φ_1, φ_2 have disjoint domains. Combining them yields the desired injection.

3.1. **Generalizations.** Examining our proof of Theorem 1.3, we observe that we do not use the full power of left-modularity, but only that m satisfies the left-modular relation for any $\alpha > x \vee y$. Thus, we have actually proved the following generalization:

Proposition 3.1. *Let L be a lattice, and let $x, y \in L$ be (not necessarily distinct) join-irreducibles. If $m \in L \setminus \{\hat{1}\}$ satisfies $(x \vee y \vee m) \wedge \alpha = (x \vee y) \vee (m \wedge \alpha)$ for any $\alpha > x \vee y$, and $m \vee x \vee y = \hat{1}$, then L satisfies Frankl's Conjecture with either x or y.*

While the statement of Proposition 3.1 appears notably more complicated than that of Theorem 1.3, it yields a reasonably uncomplicated corollary for intervals in subgroup lattices.

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be a group, let $H < G$, and let X, Y be join-irreducibles of $[H, G]$. If there is a subgroup K with $H < K < G$ such that $K(X \vee Y) = G$, then the interval $[H, G]$ satisfies Frankl's Conjecture.*

We in particular are now able to prove Proposition 1.6.

Proof (of Proposition 1.6). It follows by a theorem of Hall [11] that for every subgroup H in G, there is some subgroup K such that $KH = G$ and $H \cap K = 1$. The result follows by combining the theorem of Hall with Corollary 3.2. □

References

[1] Tetsuya Abe and Bumpei Nakano, *Lower semimodular types of lattices: Frankl's conjecture holds for lower quasi-semimodular lattices*, Graphs Combin. **16** (2000), no. 1, 1–16.

[2] Michael Aschbacher, *Overgroup lattices in finite groups of Lie type containing a parabolic*, J. Algebra **382** (2013), 71–99.

[3] Various authors, *A group-theoretic perspective on Frankl's union closed problem*, MathOverflow, http://mathoverflow.net/q/154025.

[4] ———, *Polymath11 – FUNC*, https://gowers.wordpress.com/2016/01/21/frankls-union-closed-conjecture-a-possible-polymath-project/.
[5] Robert Baddeley and Andrea Lucchini, *On representing finite lattices as intervals in subgroup lattices of finite groups*, J. Algebra **196** (1997), no. 1, 1–100.
[6] Henning Bruhn and Oliver Schaudt, *The journey of the union-closed sets conjecture*, Graphs Combin. **31** (2015), no. 6, 2043–2074.
[7] M. Cazzola and L. Di Martino, $(2,3)$*-generation of* $\mathrm{PSp}(4,q)$, $q=p^n$, $p\neq 2,3$, Results Math. **23** (1993), no. 3-4, 221–232.
[8] Gábor Czédli, *On averaging Frankl's conjecture for large union-closed-sets*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **116** (2009), no. 3, 724–729.
[9] Gábor Czédli and E. Tamás Schmidt, *Frankl's conjecture for large semimodular and planar semimodular lattices*, Acta Univ. Palack. Olomuc. Fac. Rerum Natur. Math. **47** (2008), 47–53.
[10] Martin J. Evans, *A note on two-generator groups*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **17** (1987), no. 4, 887–889.
[11] Philip Hall, *Complemented groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **12** (1937), 201–204.
[12] I. Martin Isaacs, *Algebra: a graduate course*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 100, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009, Reprint of the 1994 original.
[13] Carlisle King, *Generation of finite simple groups by an involution and an element of prime order*, arXiv:1603.04717.
[14] Martin W. Liebeck and Aner Shalev, *Classical groups, probabilistic methods, and the* $(2,3)$*-generation problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **144** (1996), no. 1, 77–125.
[15] Frank Lübeck and Gunter Malle, $(2,3)$*-generation of exceptional groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **59** (1999), no. 1, 109–122.
[16] Gunter Malle, *Hurwitz groups and* $G_2(q)$, Canad. Math. Bull. **33** (1990), no. 3, 349–357.
[17] ———, *Small rank exceptional Hurwitz groups*, Groups of Lie type and their geometries (Como, 1993), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 207, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 173–183.
[18] Peter McNamara and Hugh Thomas, *Poset edge-labellings and left modularity*, European Journal of Combinatorics **27** (2006), no. 1, 101–113, arXiv:math.CO/0211126.
[19] G. A. Miller, *On the groups generated by two operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1901), no. 10, 424–426.
[20] Péter Pál Pálfy and Pavel Pudlák, *Congruence lattices of finite algebras and intervals in subgroup lattices of finite groups*, Algebra Universalis **11** (1980), no. 1, 22–27.
[21] Marco Antonio Pellegrini and Maria Clara Tamburini, *Finite simple groups of low rank: Hurwitz generation and* $(2,3)$*-generation*, Int. J. Group Theory **4** (2015), no. 3, 13–19.
[22] Bjorn Poonen, *Union-closed families*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **59** (1992), no. 2, 253–268.
[23] Jürgen Reinhold, *Frankl's conjecture is true for lower semimodular lattices*, Graphs Combin. **16** (2000), no. 1, 115–116.

[24] Ivan Rival (ed.), *Graphs and order*, NATO Advanced Science Institutes Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, vol. 147, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1985, The role of graphs in the theory of ordered sets and its applications.
[25] Jay Schweig and Russ Woodroofe, *A broad class of shellable lattices*, arXiv:1604.03115.
[26] Richard P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin.
[27] M. A. Vsemirnov, *More classical groups which are not* $(2, 3)$*-generated*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **96** (2011), no. 2, 123–129.
[28] Ascher Wagner, *The minimal number of involutions generating some finite three-dimensional groups*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (5) **15** (1978), no. 2, 431–439.

Department of Mathematics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, 81746-73441, Iran

School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran
E-mail address: a.abdollahi@math.ui.ac.ir
URL: http://sci.ui.ac.ir/~a.abdollahi

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Mississippi State University, MS 39762
E-mail address: rwoodroofe@math.msstate.edu
URL: http://rwoodroofe.math.msstate.edu/

E-mail address: gjergjiz@gmail.com